FILED 10 OCT 15 18:27USDC-ORP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON

CHRISTINA MCCLELLAN,

Civ. No. 07-1309-AA

Plaintiff,

VERDICT FORM

Ÿ

I-FLOW CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation et al.,

Defendants.

We, the jury, find as follows:

STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY CLAIM

Question 1:

Has plaintiff Christina McClellan proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the PainBuster continuous infusion device was in a defective condition due to inadequate warnings as of September 1, 2004?

ANSWER: Yes ______No X____

If you answered "YES," please proceed to Question 2. If you answered "NO," please proceed to Question 5.

1 - VERDICT FORM 07-1309-AA

Question 2:

Has plaintiff Christina McClellan proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the defective condition of the PainBuster continuous infusion device was a substantial factor in causing her injuries and damages as a result of her September 1, 2004 surgery?

ANSWER:	Yes
	No
	answered "YES," please proceed to Question 3. answered "NO," please proceed to Question 5.
Question	n 3:
pre Con dar	s plaintiff Christina McClellan proven by a eponderance of the evidence that defendant I-Flow reporation is strictly liable for her injuries and mages caused by the defective condition, the inadequate rnings, of the PainBuster continuous infusion device?
ANSWER:	Yes
	No
Please p	proceed to Question 4.
Question	n 4:
pre sti the	s plaintiff Christina McClellan proven by a eponderance of the evidence that defendant DJO, LLC is rictly liable for her injuries and damages caused by e defective condition, the inadequate warnings, of the inBuster continuous infusion device?
ANSWER:	Yes
	No
Please p	proceed to Question 5.
///	

///

2

NEGLIGENCE CLAIM

Question 5:

Has plaintiff Christina McClellan proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant I-Flow Corporation was negligent in failing to give adequate warnings regarding the use of the PainBuster continuous infusion device as of September 1, 2004?

ANSWER:	Yes	
	No	<u>X</u>

If you answered "YES," please proceed to Question 6. If you answered "NO," please proceed to Question 7.

Question 6:

Has plaintiff Christina McClellan proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant I-Flow Corporation's negligence in failing to give adequate warnings regarding the use of the PainBuster continuous infusion device was a substantial factor in causing her injuries and damages?

ANSWER:	Yes	
	No	<u>, </u>

Please proceed to Question 7.

Question 7:

Has plaintiff Christina McClellan proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant DJO, LLC was negligent in failing to give adequate warnings regarding the use of the PainBuster continuous infusion device as of September 1, 2004?

ANSWER:	Yes		
	No	<u>X</u>	

If you answered "YES," please proceed to Question 8. If you answered "NO," please proceed to the instructions following Question 8.

Question 8:

Has plaintiff Christina McClellan proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant DJO, LLC's negligence in failing to give adequate instructions regarding the use of the PainBuster continuous infusion device was a substantial factor in causing her injuries and damages?

ANSWER:	Yes	
	No	

If you answered "YES" to Question 3 or Question 4 or Question 6 or Question 8, please proceed to Question 9.

If you did not answer "YES" to any of these questions, your deliberations are concluded. Please have the presiding juror sign and date the verdict form.

PERCENTAGE OF LIABILITY

Question 9:

If you found defendants I-Flow Corporation or DJO, LLC strictly liable in Question 3 or Question 4 or found either defendant negligent in Question 6 or Question 8, you must determine the percentage of fault, or liability, of each defendant.

A. I-Flow Corporation

If you answered "YES" to Questions 3 <u>or</u> 6 you must attribute some percentage of liability to I-Flow Corporation. If you did not answer "YES" to either question, you must attribute 0% liability to I-Flow.

B. DJO, LLC

If you answered "YES" to Questions 4 <u>or</u> 8 you must attribute some percentage of liability to DJO, LLC. If you did not answer "YES" to either question, you must attribute 0% liability to DJO.

These percentages must total 100%.

4 - VERDICT FORM 07-1309-AA

Please proceed to Question 10.

DAMAGES

Question 10:

If you found defendants I-Flow Corporation or DJO, LLC strictly liable in Question 3 or Question 4 or found either defendant negligent in Question 6 or Question 8, then you may determine what sum of money plaintiff Christina McClellan has proven to a reasonable probability to fairly, justly, and reasonably compensate her for her claimed damages:

A. Economic Damages	\$
B. Non-Economic Damages:	\$
Total Damages	\$

Your deliberations are concluded. Please have the presiding juror sign and date the verdict form.

DATED	this	15#	day	of	Octobe		2010.		
			P	RES	IDING	JUR	OR	-	_